

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/532,951	Applicant(s) TZSCHOPPE ET AL.
	Examiner Audrey Y. Chang	Art Unit 2872

All Participants:**Status of Application:** *non-final*

(1) Audrey Y. Chang. (3) _____.
 (2) Mr. Jonathan Kaplan (Reg. No. 38,935). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 June 2009**Time:** 2PM**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

42

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Audrey Y. Chang/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2872

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacts applicant's attorney Mr. Jonathan Kaplan concerning the "withdrawn" status of claim 42 in the amendment submitted. The applicant's attorney indicates that the claim is intended for cancellation. Such will be done by examiner's amendment. The "withdrawn" claim 42 is therefore canceled. .